**David J. Cushing**
T 202-663-7925
dcushing@sughrue.com

February 19, 2002

# BOX PCT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

PCT/EP00/11244
-filed October 17, 2000

Re:  Application of Lucia BIANCHI, Ernesto COLIZZI, Gaetano VALVO
SIGNALLING OF FAILURES IN TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS
**Assignee: ALCATEL**
Our Ref: Q68186

Dear Sir:

The following documents and fees are submitted herewith in connection with the above application for the purpose of entering the National stage under 35 U.S.C. § 371 and in accordance with Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty:

☑ an executed Declaration and Power of Attorney.

☑ an English translation of the International Application.

☑ 4 sheets of drawings.

☐ an English translation of Article 19 claim amendments.

☐ an English translation of Article 34 amendments (annexes to the IPER).

☑ an executed Assignment and PTO 1595 form.

☑ a Form PTO-1449 listing the reference, and a complete copy of each reference.

☑ a Preliminary Amendment

It is assumed that copies of the International Application, the International Search Report, the International Preliminary Examination Report, and any Articles 19 and 34 amendments as required by § 371(c) will be supplied directly by the International Bureau, but if further copies are needed, the undersigned can easily provide them upon request.

**PLEASE SEE THE ATTACHED PREL\*IMINARY AMENDMENT BEFORE CALCULATING THE FEE**

The Government filing fee is calculated as follows:

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total claims | 11 - 20 = | | x | $18.00 | = | $.00 |
| Independent claims | 3 - 3 = | | x | $84.00 | = | $.00 |
| Base Fee | | | | | | $890.00 |

| | |
|---|---|
| **TOTAL FILING FEE** | $890.00 |
| **Recordation of Assignment** | $ 40.00 |
| **TOTAL FEE** | $930.00 |

## Sughrue
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Box PCT
Attorney Docket Q68186
Page 2
February 19, 2002

　　　　Checks for the statutory filing fee of $890.00 and Assignment recordation fee of $40.00 are attached. You are also directed and authorized to charge or credit any difference or overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.492 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is attached.

　　　　Priority is claimed from:

| Country | Application No | Filing Date |
|---|---|---|
| Italy | TO99A000945 | October 29, 1999 |

Respectfully submitted,

David J. Cushing
Registration No. 28,703

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Date: February 19, 2002

PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Lucia BIANCHI, et al.                          PCT/EP00/11244

Appln. No.:  Not Assigned

Confirmation No.:  Not Assigned          Group Art Unit:  Not Assigned

Filed: February 19, 2002                       Examiner:  Not Assigned

For:    SIGNALLING OF FAILURES IN TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

## PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C.  20231

Sir:

Prior to examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

**Please cancel claims 1-12 without prejudice or disclaimer.**

**Please add the following new claims:**

13.     A method for signaling failures in a Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring

telecommunication network (1) comprising a plurality of nodes or network elements (NEI,

NE2,...NE6) and a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NE 1,

NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, the fiber spans comprising working channels and

protecting channels, the method comprising the step of protecting information traffic

installed in said telecommunication network by carrying out Switch operations between

said working and protecting channels, said Switch operations being driven through

protection words (PRW) exchanged among the nodes (NE) of said telecommunication network,

said method further comprising the step, carried out by a node (NE1) receiving a Signal Fail (SF) signaling on an incoming span (SP) and being in a Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) state, of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) in opposite directions through the ring network (1) so as to signal the failure to the other network elements, wherein the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) requesting the node (NE2) adjacent to the node (NEI) that receives a Signal Fail (SF) signaling and transmits on the failured connection (CNE), to verify the failure that has occurred and to take a protecting action corresponding to the failure that has occurred.

13. A method according to claim 13, wherein said protection words comprise a four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) comprising an all zeros combination in said Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field.

14. A method according to claim 13 wherein said protection words comprise a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) comprising a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling in the Node Status (ST) field.

15

16. A method according to claim 13, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) through the shorter path between the node (NEI) receiving the failure signal (SF) and the node (NE2) transmitting on the interrupted connection (ONE), by using the likely surviving connection

(CNU).

16

17. A method according to claim 13, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) in the opposite direction to the one on which the Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) is operating.

17

18. A network element (NE) of a Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring optical fiber telecommunications network (1) comprising:

a plurality of nodes or network elements (NEI, NE2,...NE6); and

a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, the fiber spans comprising working channels and protecting channels, the network element comprising Switch means for protecting an information traffic installed in said telecommunication network by carrying out Switch operations between said working and protecting channels, said Switch operations being driven through protection words (PRW) exchanged among

3

the nodes (NE) of said telecommunication network, characterized in that it further

comprises means for sending properly coded protection words (PRW) in opposite

directions through the ring network (1) when the network element (NE 1) receives a

Signal Fail (SF) signaling on an incoming span (SP) and is in a Lockout Of

Working Channel (LKW) state, wherein the means for sending properly coded

protection words (PRW) comprise means for sending protection words (PRW)

requesting the node (NE2) adjacent to the node (NEl) that receives a Signal Fail

(SF) signaling and transmits on the failured connection (CNE), to verify the failure

that has occurred and to take a protecting action corresponding to the failure that has

occurred.

19. A network element according to claim 18, characterized in that it further

comprises means for interpreting properly coded protection words (PRW) possibly received

by any other network element (NEl, NE2,...NE6).

20. A network element according to claim 18, wherein said protection words

comprise a four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, characterized in that it further

comprises means for sending properly coded protection words comprising an all zeros

combination in said Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field.

21. A network element according to claim 18, wherein said protection words

comprise a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, characterized in that it further comprises means

for sending properly coded protection words comprising a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling in the Node Status (ST) field.

21.    A Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring telecommunication network (1) comprising: a plurality of nodes or network elements (NEI, NE2,...NE6); and a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NEI, NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, characterized in that at least one of the network elements is a network element according to claim 18.

22.    A telecommunications frame comprising a first signaling byte (K1) and a second signaling byte (K2), the first and second signaling bytes (K1, K2) forming a protection word (PRW) for signaling failures in a telecommunication network, the first byte (K1) comprising four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, the second byte (K2) comprising a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, characterized in that the Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field comprises an all zeros combination and the Node Status (ST) field comprises a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling.

5

## **REMARKS**

Entry and consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David J. Cushing
Registration No. 28,703

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Date: February 19, 2002

## APPENDIX

## VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

### IN THE CLAIMS:

Please delete claims 1-12 without prejudice or disclaimer.

Please add the following new claims:

New claims 13-23 have been added.

# SIGNALLING OF FAILURES IN TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

## DESCRIPTION

The present invention relates to a method for signaling failures in telecommunications networks, particularly in MS-SPRING optical fiber telecommunications networks. Such networks comprise nodes connected through spans. The information traffic in said networks is protected by defining

5    a working channel and a protecting channel and switch operations between said working and protecting channels; the switch operations are driven through protection words being exchanged between the nodes of said telecommunications network.

In present telecommunications networks it has become extremely important to have the capability of making up for the failures occurring in the

10   networks without causing any impact on the operation functionality.

Therefore, telecommunications networks, in particular optical fiber networks, are provided with protecting means against failures of network elements or spans.

MS-SPRING (Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring) networks, for

15   example, have implemented a shared protection mechanism, which allows automatic traffic restoration also in the event of failures in the connecting fiber.

MS-SPRING networks provide for automatic traffic restoration through a synchronized rerouting of said traffic, which is operated at each ring node. This

20   operation is controlled by a protocol consisting of 16-bit patterns, which are continuously exchanged between adjacent nodes. For obtaining more information about said protocol and the operations involved by it in relation to different bit patterns see for instance the current approved version of ITU-T G. 841.

25   Standards define two MS-SPRING network types, one for two fiber rings, namely each ring node is connected to another node by a span consisting of two optical fibers, which carry signals spreading in opposite directions to each

other; the other for four fiber rings, capable of carrying a higher traffic.

Fig. 1 represents a basic schematics of a network ring 1 of an MS-SPRING two fiber network. Such a ring 1 comprises six nodes or network elements NE. Generally, network elements NEs in an MS-SPRING ring may range from 2 to 16. Each network element NE has two bi-directional communication ports PO, i.e. each port operating both as a transmission and reception port. One of the communication ports PO is dedicated to the traffic transmitted in clockwise (or East) direction E, whereas the other is dedicated to the traffic transmitted in counterclockwise (or West) direction W.

Two adjacent network elements NE in the ring 1 are connected through a corresponding span SP, which span SP comprises two connections CN. Each connection comprises an optical fiber which carry the traffic in opposite directions, namely one in clockwise direction E, the other one in counterclockwise direction W.

In order to obtain traffic protection without too much impact on the band utilization, the bandwidth in the MS-SPRING network ring 1 is divided in two halves of equal capacity, called working capacity (or working channel) and protecting capacity (or protecting channel). In Fig. 1 they are identified by arrows of different colors, grey and white, which represent the connections CN. The working channel is used for high priority (HP) traffic (namely, protected traffic), whereas the protecting channel is used for low priority (LP) traffic, namely a traffic that can be lost in the event of a failure.

Protection in the MS-SPRING network ring 1 is implemented through a so-called "Bridge & Switch" technique, which technique comprises the step of re-routing the traffic through an appropriate modification of the internal connections of the network elements, i.e. transferring the traffic from the working channel to the protecting channel, on which protecting channel the traffic is sent in a direction opposite to the direction of the original path.

A Bridge operation will substantially let a node transmit the same traffic on both the working channel and protecting channel, whereas a Switch operation will select the traffic transmitted on the protecting channel instead of the traffic transmitted on the working channel.

Such a protection technique, called APS (Automatic Protection Switch) requires for each network element to have inside a device called APS controller, which is capable of detecting failures, communicating and receiving information related to the other network elements and actuating Bridge & Switch operations.

Fig. 1 indicates a protection controller CP inside the node NE1, i.e. an APS controller, which exchanges protection words PW with the other network elements NE. Said protection words PW are commonly called bit patterns and consist of two signaling bytes, a first byte K1 and a second byte K2. Each protection controller CP contained in a network element NE is apt to interpret and write them according to the events occurring in the ring 1.

As it is known, MS-SPRING network ring state can be modified by two kinds of event. The first event corresponds to network failures, which in their turn may be either Signal Degrade failures or Signal Fail failures. The second event corresponds to the commands by an operator superintending to the operation. Said controls may be:

- Manual Switch;
- Forced Switch;
- Lockout Of Working Channel; and
- Lockout Of Protection Span.

The present invention deals with the Lockout Of Working Channel command, whereas for the description of the other commands reference is made to the above standard.

A Lockout Of Working Channel command which is sent by the operator hinders the node that receives said control to perform a switching operation of the protecting channel. The node can not request a protection Switch of any type, even if a failure should occur on a span. Should any traffic already be under protection, the relevant Bridge will be suppressed independently from the conditions of the working channel.

Fig. 2 represents a more detailed diagram of a portion of the ring 1 shown in Fig. 1 under a special operating condition, so as to describe a drawback of the known state of the art.

According to this particular operating condition, it is assumed that a Signal Fail (SF) failure occurs in a connection CNE entering the node NE1 in the direction E, corresponding for example to a real break of the relevant optical fiber (span failure).

As a result, according to the current MS-SPRING protocols, a protection procedure is activated on the node NE1, which provides for the node NE1 to become a Switch node and send requests for actuating a Bridge operation in both directions, namely on the short path (West direction from node NE1), and on the long path (East direction from the node NE1). The node NE2 receives a Bridge request on the short path and will correspondingly send a reverse request to the node NE1 on the short path itself; therefore, the node NE2, upon reception of a Bridge request from the long path, will perform a Bridge & Switch operation, i.e. rerouting the traffic on the protecting channel and on the long path. The node NE1, upon reception of a reverse request from the long path will perform in its turn a Bridge & Switch operation for the completion of the protecting operation.

However, if upon completion of the protecting operation the operator sends a Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) command to the node NE1 in the direction of the connection CNE where the above failure has occurred, this will hinder the node NE1 from maintaining the Switch operation.

The node NE1 is kept informed on the status of its connection CNU resulting from the protection bit patterns, i.e. the protection words PW mentioned with reference to Fig. 1, namely from protection words PRW1 received by the incoming connection CNE from the same side, i.e. direction E (short path) and from protection words PRW2, received by the incoming connection CN from the opposite side, i.e. direction W (long path). In the instance shown in Fig. 2, the protection words PRW1 coming from the same side where failure has occurred, i.e. the short path, are not available because of the incoming connection CNE has a failure by assumption. Protection words PRW2 coming from the opposite side, i.e. the long path, are available but the information they carry is a combination of the status of the exiting connection CNU and incoming connection CNE, i.e. they indicate a failure if at least one of

both connections CN has failed (without any possibility of understanding whether both connections have a failure); therefore, since the incoming connection CNE has a failure by assumption, no useful information about the state of the exiting connection CNU can be obtained, not even from the protection word PRW2 received from the opposite side.

If no lockout command of the working channel LKW is issued, the above situation has no influence since, according to MS-SPRING protocols, the node NE1 should behave in the same way, either in the event that only the incoming connection CNE has failed or that both the incoming connection CNE and exiting connection CNU have a failure; consequently, it is of no interest to know the state of the exiting connection CNU when the incoming connection CNE has a failure. On the contrary, when a lockout command LKW is given by the operator to the working channel, in which case the node NE1 is requested to ignore the state of the entering connection CNE only, the node NE1 should know the state of the exiting connection CNU, since its behavior depends on that status.

Serious drawbacks are caused by the above situation. In fact, if the failure SF is only on the exiting connection CNE, i.e. unidirectional incoming, in the event of a lockout command of the working channel LKW, the protocol provides as above for suppression of the switch between the working channel and protecting channel, whereas in the event that such a failure SF also affects the exiting connection CNU of one same span SP (ring switch), the protocol imposes to leave the traffic unchanged also in the event of a lockout command of the working channel LKW, i.e. to maintain the switch between the working channel and protecting channel.

Since the node NE1 has no way to know the state of the exiting connection CNU in the direction of the node NE2, its protection controller CP implementing APS protection is in an uncertainty situation and will initially attempt to suppress the Switch and subsequently restore it. In the event of a failure, this appears like an undesired transient.

It is the main object of the present invention to solve the above drawbacks and provide a method for signaling failures in MS-SPRING

telecommunications networks, having a more efficient and improved performance which is able to avoid the above undesired transients of the protection controllers in the event of a lockout command of the working channel.

The above and further objects are obtained by a method according to independent claim 1, a network element according to claim 7, a network according to claim 11 and a frame according to claim 12. The respective dependent claims set forth advantageous characteristics of the present invention. All the claims are considered as an integral part of the present description.

The basic idea of the present invention consists in the step, carried out by a network element receiving a Signal Fail signaling on an incoming span and being in a Lockout Of Working Channel state, of sending proper protection words in opposite directions through the ring network.

Further objects, features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description and annexed drawings, which are supplied by way of non limiting example, wherein:

-    Fig. 1 shows a basic schematic diagram of an MS-SPRING telecommunications network;

-    Fig. 2 shows a detail of the MS-SPRING telecommunications network of Fig. 1 in a failure situation;

-    Fig. 3 shows a failure signaling string, which provides the method for signaling failures in telecommunications networks, according to the present invention; and

-    Fig. 4 shows a time diagram of the MS-SPRING network in the failure situation of Fig. 2, using the method for signaling failures in telecommunications network according to the present invention.

As above mentioned, the protection word according to ITU-T G. 841 comprises two signaling bytes, a first byte K1 and a second byte K2. The first byte K1 contains two fields of four bits. The first field of K1 (BRQ) contains the Bridge request code. The second field of K1 (DNI) contains the information indicating which node NE should accept the request (namely, DNI is the identification of destination node).

The second byte K2 contains three fields. The first field of K2 is a four bit field (SNI) containing the identification of the node NE that has generated the request (namely, SNI is the identification of source node). The second field of K2 is a one-bit field (LS) containing the path information (Long or Short). Finally, the third field of K2 (ST) contains the information of the node status. The third field of K2 is a three bit field. ITU-T G.841 sets forth possible values of the first field of K1 (BRQ) and the third field of K2 (ST).

Fig. 3 represents a possible protection word PRW according to the present invention. Such a "modified" (or proper) protection word PRW is in fact a modification of the protection words PW previously described, i.e. the instruction sent to the protection controllers CP located inside the nodes (NE1... NE6) of Fig.1 implementing APS protection. It should be understood that the PRW according to the present invention has the same field arrangement of the known PRW, the modification being in the values of BRQ and ST fields as below explained.

The method for signaling failures according to the present invention comprises the step of notifying the special condition of the node NE1 to the node NE2 of Fig. 2 (adjacent to the node NE1) which receives the Locking Of Working Channel command, without interfering with the currently installed traffic. The above step comprises the step, carried out by NE1, of sending the modified protection word PRW to NE2. The bit arrangement of the modified (namely, properly coded) protection word PRW identifies a combination not assigned by the above standard defining the MS-SPRING protocol, but conventionally indicating anyway the particular condition of the node NE1.

The node NE2, which has become aware of the special situation notified by the node NE1 through this properly coded protection word PRW, has all the required information for deciding whether to suppress the Switch, should only the incoming connection CNE to the node NE1 be affected by a failure (span NE1-NE2 affected by a span failure), or maintain the Switch should the exiting connection CNU have a failure (ring failure of span NE1-NE2).

The preferred embodiment of the modified protection word according to the present invention is shown in Fig. 3. The invention arrangement is obtained by inserting four zeros in the second field (BRQ) of the first byte K1 sent on the long

path, whereas the third field (ST) of the second byte K2 is written with the Bridge & Switch signaling coding, namely, binary 010. Therefore, the protection controller CP of the node NE2, which receives such a modified protection word PRW is conveniently programmed for recognizing such a combination received by the node NE1.

Now the node NE2, being aware of the connection state CN exiting the node NE1 and entering the node NE2 through the protection word PRW that has reached the protection controller CP, may decide through the same protection controller CP whether to suppress the switch or not.

Fig. 4 shows a time evolution diagram of the ring 1 in the condition of Fig. 2, namely with a span failure SF affecting the connection CNE entering the node NE1, which has presumably occurred in the instant T0.

The diagram is representing the protection words PRW being exchanged by the APS controllers of the various nodes NE. In the diagram, the protection words are represented through messages M, i.e. bit patterns or protection words PW. The protection words have the format BRQ/DNI/SNI/LS/ST previously illustrated for the protection words.

Said messages M are:

M1:     Signal Fail Ring/2/1/L/BS

M2:     Signal Fail Ring/2/1/S/BS

M3:     Reverse Request Ring/1/2/S/BS

M4:     Signal Fail Ring/1/2/L/BS

M5:     No Request/2/1/L/BS

M7:     No Request/1/2/L/BR

M8:     No Request/1/2/S/BR

where L = Long Path, S = Short Path, BS = Bridge & Switch, and BR = Bridge.

The message M5 corresponds to the modified protection word PRW.

The message M3 is the message not received by NE1 due to the failure SF.

At the time T1, standard messages M1, M4 are exchanged, i.e. standard protection words PW. As it can be seen, the modified protection word PRW corresponding to the message M5 is sent at the time T2 and will propagate through the nodes NE until it reaches the node NE2 at time T3. As it can be seen, the node

NE2 removes the Switch (going over from BS to BR) at the time T3 through the transmission of the messages M7 and M8 on the long and short paths. The node NE2 will thus start the switch suppression procedure, since the failure SF is unidirectional. From this time on the protocol continues according to the usual suppression sequence established by the MS-SPRING networks standard as previously mentioned.

Should the failure SF has been a bi-directional one (ring switch), the message M7 would not have been transmitted due to the missing connection and both the messages M2, M4 transmitted by the node NE2 and message M5 transmitted by the node NE1 would have remained, without any impact on the protected traffic.

The present invention further comprises a telecommunication (SDH or SONET) frame comprising a first and a second signaling bytes (K1 and K2) able to signal a Lockout Of Working Channels state of a network element (NE1) to a further network element (NE2) adjacent to a failure. In a preferred embodiment, the first field (BRQ) of the first byte (K1) comprises four zeros (0000) while the third field (ST) of second byte (K2) comprises the Bridge and Switch coding (binary 010).

The present invention still further comprises a network element comprising means for processing and identifying telecommunication (SDH or SONET) frames comprising a first and a second signaling bytes (K1 and K2) able to signal a Lockout Of Working Channels state of a network element (NE1) to a further network element (NE2) adjacent to a failure. In a preferred embodiment, the first field (BRQ) of the first byte (K1) comprises four zeros (0000) while the third field (ST) of second byte (K2) comprises the Bridge and Switch coding (binary 010).

From the above description the feature of the present invention are clear and also its advantages will be clear.

The method for signaling failures in telecommunications networks according to the present invention advantageously allows to remove the transient that arises in the node protection controller that receives a failure signal on a fiber and, therefore, a Lockout Of Working Channels signal. Advantageously, in fact, due to the fact that the node is not capable of self managing, the failure situation is notified to the adjacent node, which provides in its place for managing the protection and in particular a suppression or maintaining the switch between the working channel and protecting

channel.

It should be clear that the arrangement of Fig. 3 is one of the possible configurations of bytes. In other words, several different combinations of the fields of bytes K1 and K2 can also be utilized on both the long and short spans, provided they are not assigned to other functions, namely they are not standardized. In principle, also protection words comprising an already standardized coding (namely, a coding corresponding to a certain failure status) can be used for the purposes of the present invention. In this case, at least one bit (preferably one byte) of the corresponding telecommunications frame should be used for clarifying the different meaning of such protection words.

Finally, while the present invention has been shown and disclosed with specific reference to SDH synchronous signals, the above principles and considerations equally apply to other synchronous signals (SONET). For the purposes of this specification, any reference to SDH should be read in such a way as to include also SONET signals, unless otherwise indicated.

There have thus been shown and described a novel method, a novel network element, a novel network and a novel telecommunications frame which fulfill all the objects and advantages sought therefor. Many changes, modifications, variations and other uses and applications of the subject invention will, however, become apparent to those skilled in the art after considering the specification and the accompanying drawings which disclose preferred embodiments thereof. All such changes, modifications, variations and other uses and applications which do not depart from the spirit and scope of the invention are deemed to be covered by the invention which is limited only by the claims which follow.

11
## CLAIMS

1.     Method for signaling failures in a Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring telecommunication network (1) comprising:

- a plurality of nodes or network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6); and

- a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, the fiber spans comprising working channels and protecting channels,

the method comprising the step of protecting information traffic installed in said telecommunication network by carrying out Switch operations between said working and protecting channels, said Switch operations being driven through protection words (PRW) exchanged among the nodes (NE) of said telecommunication network,

characterized by the step, carried out by a node (NE1) receiving a Signal Fail (SF) signaling on an incoming span (SP) and being in a Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) state, of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) in opposite directions through the ring network (1) so as to signal the failure to the other network elements, wherein the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) requesting the node (NE2) adjacent to the node (NE1) that receives a Signal Fail (SF) signaling and transmits on the failured connection (CNE), to verify the failure that has occurred and to take a protecting action corresponding to the failure that has occurred.

2.     Method according to claim 1, wherein said protection words comprise a four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) comprising an all zeros combination in said Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field.

3.     Method according to claim 1, wherein said protection words comprise a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, characterized in that the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) comprising a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling in the Node Status (ST) field.

AMENDED SHEET

4.    Method according to claim 1, <u>characterized in that</u> the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) through the shorter path between the node (NE1) receiving the failure signal (SF) and the node (NE2) transmitting on the interrupted connection (CNE), by using the likely surviving connection (CNU).

5.    Method according to claim 1, <u>characterized in that</u> the step of sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprises the step of sending protection words (PRW) in the opposite direction to the one on which the Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) is ope rating.

6.    Network element (NE) of a Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring optical fiber telecommunications network (1) comprising:

   - a plurality of nodes or network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6); and

   - a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, the fiber spans comprising working channels and protecting channels, the network element comprising Switch means for protecting an information traffic installed in said telecommunication network by carrying out Switch operations between said working and protecting channels, said Switch operations being driven through protection words (PRW) exchanged among the nodes (NE) of said telecommunication network, <u>characterized in that</u> it further comprises means for sending properly coded protection words (PRW) in opposite directions through the ring network (1) when the network element (NE1) receives a Signal Fail (SF) signaling on an incoming span (SP) and is in a Lockout Of Working Channel (LKW) state, wherein the means for sending properly coded protection words (PRW) comprise means for sending protection words (PRW) requesting the node (NE2) adjacent to the node (NE1) that receives a Signal Fail (SF) signaling and transmits on the failured connection (CNE), to verify the failure that has occurred and to take a protecting action corresponding to the failure that has occurred.

7.    Network element according to claim 6, <u>characterized in that</u> it further comprises means for interpreting properly coded protection words

AMENDED SHEET

(PRW) possibly received by any other network element (NE1, NE2,...NE6).

8.      Network element according to claim 6, wherein said protection words comprise a four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, <u>characterized in that</u> it further comprises means for sending properly coded protection words comprising an all zeros combination in said Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field.

9.      Network element according to claim 6, wherein said protection words comprise a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, <u>characterized in that</u> it further comprises means for sending properly coded protection words comprising a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling in the Node Status (ST) field.

10.     Multiplexed-Shared Protection Ring telecommunication network (1) comprising: a plurality of nodes or network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6); and a plurality of fiber spans connecting the network elements (NE1, NE2,...NE6) in a ring configuration, <u>characterized in that</u> at least one of the network elements is a network element according to any of claims 7-9.

11.     Telecommunications frame comprising a first signaling byte (K1) and a second signaling byte (K2), the first and second signaling bytes (K1, K2) forming a protection word (PRW) for signaling failures in a telecommunication network, the first byte (K1) comprising four-bit Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field, the second byte (K2) comprising a three-bit Node Status (ST) field, <u>characterized in that</u> the Bridge Request Code (BRQ) field comprises an all zeros combination and the Node Status (ST) field comprises a bit combination corresponding to a Bridge & Switch signaling.

AMENDED SHEET

## ABSTRACT

A method for signaling failures in telecommunications networks, in particular MS-SPRING optic fiber telecommunications networks, comprising nodes connected through spans, that provides for protecting the information traffic on said telecommunications networks by defining a work channel and a protecting channel and switch operations between said work and protecting channels, said switch being driven through protection words exchanged among the nodes of said telecommunications network. According to the invention it is provided that the node (NE1) of the telecommunication network that receives a failure signal (SF) on an incoming span (SP) and is in a Lockout Of Work Channel (LKW) state sends modified protection words (PRW) in opposite direction.

10/049831

1/4



Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: My residence, mailing address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## SIGNALLING OF FAILURES IN TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORKS

The application of which
☐ is attached hereto     OR     ☐ was filed on _____
as United States Application Number or PCT International Application
Number _____
(Confirmation No. _____), and was amended on
_____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified application, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56, including for continuation-in-part application(s), material information which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or 365(a) of any PCT international application(s) which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application(s) for patent, inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or any PCT international application(s) having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

| | | | Priority Claimed | |
| Prior Foreign Application Number(s) | Country | Foreign Filing Date | Yes | No |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TO99A000945 | Italy | October 29, 1999 | ✓ | ☐ |

I hereby claim domestic priority benefits under 35 United States Code §120 of any United States application(s), §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s), or §365(c) of any PCT International application(s) designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in a listed prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge my duty to disclose any information material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

| Prior U.S. or International Application Number(s) | U.S. or International Filing Date | Status |
|---|---|---|
| | | |

I hereby appoint all attorneys of **SUGHRUE MION, PLLC** who are listed under the USPTO Customer Number shown below as my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, recognizing that the specific attorneys listed under that Customer Number may be changed from time to time at the sole discretion of Sughrue Mion, PLLC, and request that all correspondence about the application be addressed to the address filed under the same USPTO Customer Number.

23373

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

## NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any])   Ernesto | | Family Name or Surname   Colizzi | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date   January 16, 2002 | |
| Residence: City   Monza (Milano) | State | Country   Italy | Citizenship   Italy |
| Mailing Address:   Via Agnesi, 5 | | | |
| City   Monza (Milano) | State | Zip   20052 | Country   Italy |

## NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any])   Lucia | | Family Name or Surname   Bianchi | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date   January 16, 2002 | |
| Residence: City   Milano | State | Country   Italy | Citizenship   Italy |
| Mailing Address:   Via Inama, 7 | | | |
| City   Milano | State | Zip   20133 | Country   Italy |

## NAME OF THIRD INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any])   Gaetano | | Family Name or Surname   Valvo | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date   January 16, 2002 | |
| Residence: City   Milano | State | Country   Italy | Citizenship   Italy |
| Mailing Address:   Viale Affori, 7 | | | |
| City   Milano | State | Zip   20161 | Country   Italy |

## NAME OF FOURTH INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any]) | | Family Name or Surname | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date | |
| Residence: City | State | Country | Citizenship |
| Mailing Address: | | | |
| City | State | Zip | Country |

## NAME OF FIFTH INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any]) | | Family Name or Surname | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date | |
| Residence: City | State | Country | Citizenship |
| Mailing Address: | | | |
| City | State | Zip | Country |

## NAME OF SIXTH INVENTOR:

| Given Name (first and middle [if any]) | | Family Name or Surname | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Inventor's Signature | | Date | |